UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,893	01/28/2005	Pauli Koutonen	FORSAL-99	7248
36528 STIENNON &	7590 08/10/200' STIENNON		EXAMINER	
612 W. MAIN	ST., SUITE 201		KIM, SANG K	
P.O. BOX 1667 MADISON, W			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,893	/517,893 KOUTONEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SANG KIM	3654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	· •	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 8-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. r election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CF	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	*		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-14 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 9315632 A.

Regarding claims 8 and 16, JP '632 discloses applicant's claimed invention, as shown in figures 1-2. A system for winding a web 4 into a web roll 2 by leading the web through a winding nip (no reference number assigned) defined between said web roll and a winding drum 1, the web defining a first wrap angle (theta 1) as the web passes through the nip, the wrap angle being the amount the web wraps the winding drum before entering the nip when the wrap angle is positive or negative (note, the wrap angle is relative to depending on which point of origin is measured from), measuring a hardness distribution of the web roll and changing the wrap angle in response to the measured hardness distribution is inherently taught since the wrap angle can change from the start values to desired values to prevent the web from air build up and wrinkles, which affects the roll hardness distribution when air is built up under the web, see paragraphs [0004] through [0008] and see figures 1-2.

Winding a fibrous web is notoriously old and well known for operating and manufacturing apparatus of all kinds, including winders. It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3654

one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a specific type of web into the web roll.

Regarding claims 9-12 and 17-19, as stated above, JP '362 discloses changing the wrap angle and regulated by moving the position of at least one guide roll 3, since the web is wound between the rolls, it prevents slippage of the web, see figures 1-2.

Regarding claims 13-14, as stated above, JP '362 discloses changing the wrap angle, which inherently changes the roll hardness distribution of the roll.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 9315632 A, in view of Griffin, U.S. Patent No. 4463586.

As stated above, JP '362 discloses changing the wrap angle in a slitter machine but does not explain explicitly how the wrap angle is controlled.

Griffin discloses the concept of using a closed loop system which controls the wrap angle, see abstract.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of JP '362 with a closed loop system for controlling the wrap angle taught by Griffin, in order to accurately adjust the wrap angle.

Response to Arguments

Claims 8, 11-12, 16 and 19 have been amended.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-9, filed on 6/13/07, with respect to claims 8-19 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of Muller '117, in view

Art Unit: 3654

of Griffin '586 has been withdrawn. Muller '117 adjusts the wrap angle for coefficient of friction, which does not respond to hardness distribution measurements.

However, applicant's arguments filed on 6/13/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to claims 8-19. The rejection of JP '632 in view of Griffin '586 is still maintained.

Applicant argues that JP '632 fail to disclose measuring the roll hardness distribution of a wound roll, nor adjusting the wrap angle in response to such a measurement as claimed by the applicant's invention. Applicant alleges that JP '632 does not suggest in any way a measurement of roll hardness distribution since JP '632 discloses a method for winding to reduce or eliminate the film wrinkling.

As stated above, JP '632 inherently discloses measuring the roll hardness distribution of a wound roll by changing the wrap angle in response to the measured hardness distribution since JP '632 device is used to prevent the web from air build up and wrinkles, which affects the roll hardness distribution when air is built up under the web. During winding, if air is built up under the web, the surface layer wrinkles as a result. However, at the same time, any wrinkle and/or air pocket under the web causes the roll hardness distribution to change since air pockets under the web makes the roll hardness weaker in the area of air pockets as compare to non-air pocket regions. Obviously, JP '632 device is used to prevent the web from air build up and wrinkles by changing the wrap angle, which inherently changes the roll hardness distribution of a wound roll as a result.

Art Unit: 3654

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SANG KIM whose telephone number is 571-272-6947. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo, can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 3654

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SK

8/4/07

SUPERVISORY FOR EXAMINER